

This document has been proactively released. Any redactions made to the document have been made consistent with the provisions of the Official Information Act 1982

Review of the Unit Titles Act 2017. I requested further time to submit. Here is my submission.

s 9(2)(a)

My submissions are;

1. For small two unit, unit title properties remove the requirement in the Act to operate a Body Corporate.
2. Either make it lawful to not be bound by the Act or strengthen the Act and the role of the MED to ensure Body Corporates are an active part of ownership of these small 2 unit property types.
3. My preference is to be not bound by the Act, purely as in this case, all owners of the other site to date thumb their nose at the Act.

Actually none have any idea of the content of the ACT. MED states there are no penalties for not having an active B/C.

Further MED states they have zero interest in ensuring the Act is complied with in respect of the formation and functions of a Body Corporate. **So why have it?**

4. Remove the requirement for a body Corporate position statement at the time of a sale for a two unit property that chooses for one reason or another to not comply with Act by not having an active Body Corporate.

The prior experience proves a B/C set up for the property sale was a sham, solely to meet lender requirements.

5. Either remove the limitations of the flat plan for two unit - unit title properties such as this one, or strengthen the legislation to control and penalise flat plan deviations.

Those are my submissions